

Message

---

**From:** Chin, Lucita [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=EA404E3F56574242AEE0811A07E309FC-CHIN, LUCITA]  
**Sent:** 6/22/2017 8:07:40 PM  
**To:** Haynie, Adam [haynie.adam@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Dewey Burdock Mine Permit- Federal Register review of EPA's CRF 40 CFR 124.9 (b) (6)

Not a problem. - Lucita

Lucita Chin  
Associate Regional Counsel  
Environmental Protection Agency  
1595 Wynkoop St.  
Denver, CO 80202  
Office: (303) 312-7832

---

**From:** Haynie, Adam  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 22, 2017 1:57 PM  
**To:** Chin, Lucita <Chin.Lucita@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Dewey Burdock Mine Permit- Federal Register review of EPA's CRF 40 CFR 124.9 (b) (6)

Just found this – sorry I missed it before the meeting!

Adam Haynie  
Summer Law Clerk  
Office of Regional Counsel  
EPA Region 8  
303-312-6143

---

**From:** Chin, Lucita  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 22, 2017 7:46 AM  
**To:** Perkins, Erin <Perkins.Erin@epa.gov>; Haynie, Adam <haynie.adam@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Dewey Burdock Mine Permit- Federal Register review of EPA's CRF 40 CFR 124.9 (b) (6)

For our meeting later today...

Lucita Chin  
Associate Regional Counsel  
Environmental Protection Agency  
1595 Wynkoop St.  
Denver, CO 80202  
Office: (303) 312-7832

---

**From:** Hoppe, Allison  
**Sent:** Monday, June 19, 2017 8:14 AM  
**To:** Shea, Valois <Shea.Valois@epa.gov>; Nancy [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]  
**Cc:** Chin, Lucita <Chin.Lucita@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Dewey Burdock Mine Permit- Federal Register review of EPA's CRF 40 CFR 124.9 (b) (6)

Hi Nancy,

I've attached the documents we discussed on the phone. The document with (1) in the title actually comes second. Please let me know if you have any other questions.

Best,

Allison Hoppe  
Law Clerk  
American Indian & Alaska Native Special Emphasis Program Manager  
Cross-Cutting Issues Law Office  
Office of General Counsel  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
(202) 564-1912

I am an EPA LGBTQ+ Ally. [Learn more and take the pledge here.](#)  
Pronouns: She/Her/Hers

---

**From:** Shea, Valois  
**Sent:** Friday, June 16, 2017 6:08 PM  
**To:** **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**; Hoppe, Allison <[hoppe.allison@epa.gov](mailto:hoppe.allison@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Chin, Lucita <[Chin.Lucita@epa.gov](mailto:Chin.Lucita@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Dewey Burdock Mine Permit- Federal Register review of EPA's CRF 40 CFR 124.9 (b) (6)

Hi Nancy,  
I don't know the FR notice that explains the legal reasoning behind this. I think Allison is in our HQ office where it is 2 hours later. But perhaps she can reply on Monday in time for you to finalize your comments. I have also copied our Region 8 UIC attorney who is also familiar with this issue and may also be able to give you the reference. She will arrive early Monday morning – usually around 6:00am.

*Valois*

---

Valois Shea  
U.S. EPA Region 8  
MailCode: 8WP-SUI  
1595 Wynkoop Street  
Denver, CO 80202-1129  
Phone: (303) 312-6276  
Fax: (303) 312-6741  
Email: [shea.valois@epa.gov](mailto:shea.valois@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Nancy [<mailto:nhilshat@rapidnet.com>]  
**Sent:** Friday, June 16, 2017 3:45 PM  
**To:** Hoppe, Allison <[hoppe.allison@epa.gov](mailto:hoppe.allison@epa.gov)>; Shea, Valois <[Shea.Valois@epa.gov](mailto:Shea.Valois@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**>  
**Subject:** Dewey Burdock Mine Permit- Federal Register review of EPA's CRF 40 CFR 124.9 (b) (6)

Nancy Hilding  
President Prairie Hills Audubon Society  
P.O. Box 788  
Black Hawk, SD 57718  
June 16, 2017

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

Dear Allison Hoppe and Valois Shea,

RE: Rule creation for EPA's CRF 40 CFR 124.9 (b) (6)

Can either of you give me the publication date for the Federal Register Notice of publication of the CFR rule set that CRF 40 CFR 124.9 (b) (6) belongs within. This rule exempts EPA permitting via underground injection control (UIC) from NEPA.

I wish to see the justifications for adoption of this rule set and that would normally be explained in a preamble for the rule in the Federal Register, when it was adopted.

I ask for this information to help write my comments on Dewey Burdock In-situ Leach Application.

I wish to understand which legal argument EPA uses to exempt itself from NEPA for UIC.

As I understand it courts have exempted agencies from the procedural requirements under NEPA where the court thinks that either:

- (1) a direct conflict between NEPA and the organic statute authorizing agency action exists, or
- (2) NEPA procedures will be redundant with those provided for under the organic statute due to either displacement or functional equivalence.

I ask that you fully disclose those legal arguments in your final permit documents... fully explain how and why EPA chose to pass CFRs exempting itself from NEPA for UIC. Please fully disclose which legal rationale you tie to. If it is "functional equivalence"; we believe you need to show how you are achieving "functional equivalence" or have redundant procedures to NEPA.

Thanks,

Nancy Hilding

Nancy Hilding  
President  
Prairie Hills Audubon Society  
P.O. Box 788  
Black Hawk, SD 57718

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

[phas.wsd@rapidnet.com](mailto:phas.wsd@rapidnet.com)

[www.phas-wsd.org](http://www.phas-wsd.org)

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

Skype phone -787-1248, nancy.hilding

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

cell account is not activated and it does not accept text/voice mail,